

San Francisco
Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council
SB 823 Subcommittee

FEBRUARY 4, 2021

Agenda

1. Introductions – Roll call
2. Statement re: virtual meetings/public comment
3. Presentation on the Closure of DJJ (Discussion)
4. Membership (Discussion)
5. Meeting Schedule (Discussion & Possible Action)
6. Requests for future agenda items (Discussion & Possible Action)
7. Adjournment (Action Item)

Presentation on the Closure of the Division of Juvenile Justice

AGENDA ITEM 3

DISCUSSION

SB 823: Juvenile Justice Realignment

- SB 823 shifts responsibility for the custody, care, and supervision of youth who would have otherwise been eligible for DJJ to **counties**:
 - **Local Secure Youth Treatment Facilities**
- **Adjusts the Age of Jurisdiction**: Extended to 21, 23, or 25, depending on offense
- **Intake at DJJ stops July 1, 2021**
 - Excluding Adult Commits until DJJ is closed
 - Governor has proposed facility closure by June 30, 2023
- New state **Office of Youth & Community Restoration (OYCR)** within Health & Human Services Agency
- DOJ plan to replace Juvenile Court & Probation Statistical System (JCPSS)
- New **secure dispositional track** created by March 1, 2021

Secure Dispositional Track

- State is developing statute for new **secure dispositional track** to address:
 - Eligibility for new local **Secure Youth Treatment Facility**
 - Length of commitment
 - Oversight of treatment progress
 - Reentry and post-release supervision
 - Facility regulations

SB 823 Subcommittee & Local Plan

- To be eligible for state realignment funding: each county shall create a JJCC **subcommittee** to develop a **plan** to provide appropriate rehabilitation and supervision services to youth who were eligible for DJJ commitment prior to its closure, i.e. youth who are adjudicated to be a ward of the juvenile court based on a WIC 707(b) or PC 290.008 offense.
- Plan due to OYCR by **January 1, 2022**. Board of Supervisors must approve prior to submission.

San Francisco's current projected funding:

<u>FY 21/22:</u>	<u>FY 22/23:</u>	<u>FY 23-24:</u>
\$794,598	\$2,353,800	\$3,899,536

What must the local plan entail?

- Description of realignment population to be served by block grant
 - Numbers by age and other characteristics
- Description of facilities, programs, placements, services and service providers, supervision, and other responses
- Description of how grant funds will address range of programming needs outlined in WIC 1995
- Detailed facility plan
- Plan to incentivize retaining youth in juvenile system (vs. adult system)
- Description of regional arrangements
- Description of how data will be collected on youth served and outcomes

DJJ Programming Components

- Reception Center/Intake Process
- Intervention Strategies
- Education Services
- Career Technical Education
- Female Treatment Program
- Mental Health Treatment Programs
- Sex Behavior Treatment Programs
- Reentry Program

Additional information available on JPD website

DJJ Data

Bay Area DJJ
Commitments


2016-2019

COUNTY	Population	2016	2017	2018	2019	Total
Alameda	1.5M	4	5	8	-	17
Contra Costa	1.0M	17	13	12	14	56
Marin	252K	1	1	-	-	2
Monterey	415K	10	5	17	12	44
Napa	136K	-	-	1	-	1
San Francisco	881K	1	4	4	2	11
San Mateo	218K	3	2	4	3	12
Santa Clara	1.8M	3	15	8	20	46
Santa Cruz	262K	1	5	2	1	9
Solano	413K	3	5	4	9	21
Sonoma	484K	4	7	3	6	20


DJJ DEMOGRAPHICS

FY 18/19 686 YOUTH

DJJ POPULATION: GENDER
(2019)



DJJ POPULATION: AGE
(2019)



AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY

Average Length of Stay for
All Youth Released in 2019:
28 months

Source: CPOC

Releases: Average Length of Stay		
Offense Group	Length of Stay (Releases 2016-2019)	Average Length of Stay (2019)
Aggravated Assault	9-42 months	22 months
Arson	21-27 months	21 months
Assault w/ Intent to Murder	11-48 months	42 months
Burglary 1st Degree	14 – 45 months	39 months
Carjacking	8-43 months	30 months
Extortion/Kidnapping	20-47 months	41 months
Manslaughter (inc vehicular)		42 months
Murder 1st Degree		48 months
Murder 2nd Degree		30 months
Rape (Forcible)		44 months
Robbery (Enhanced)	3 – 42 months	25 months
Robbery (Other)	8-46 months	25 months
Sex Offenses (Other)	6-45 months	31 months

SPECIALIZED POPULATIONS

DJJ ADMISSIONS STATEWIDE

SPECIALIZED POPULATION	18/19 ADP	2018 INTAKE	2019 INTAKE
SEXUAL BEHAVIOR TREATMENT	88	35	27
YOUNG WOMEN	27	14	13

Source: CPOC

SPECIALIZED POPULATIONS

DJJ EDUCATION SERVICES STATEWIDE

EDUCATION FY 2018/19		
ENROLLED HIGH SCHOOL	288	42%
ENROLLED VOCATIONAL PROGRAM	430	63%
ENROLLED COLLEGE	190	28%

Source: CPOC

Focus of Our Work

- Avoid net widening & avoid increased transfers to the adult system
- Who would the Court have sent to DJJ, Out of State Placement, Ranch/Camp?
- Secure Youth Treatment Facility (SYTF):
 - Secure track legislated by SB 823 requires a secure option subject to existing regulations
 - Should this be a stand-alone facility; jointly operated with another county or counties; beds contracted from another county; and/or in an existing juvenile facility?
- Special populations:
 - Girls & young women
 - Youth assessed as violent sex offenders
 - All youth ages 14-24, or subset?

Questions & Discussion

AGENDA ITEM 3

Subcommittee Membership

AGENDA ITEM 4

DISCUSSION

JJCC SB 823 Subcommittee

1. Katy Miller, Probation Chief (Chair)
 2. Kasie Lee, District Attorney's Office
 3. Patricia Lee, Public Defender's Office
 4. Joan Miller, Department of Social Services (HSA)
 5. Farahnaz Farahmand, Department of Mental Health (DPH)
 6. Alysse Castro, County Office of Education/School District (SFUSD)
 7. Judge Monica Wiley, Superior Court
- No fewer than three community members defined as individuals who (1) have experience providing community-based youth services, (2) youth justice advocates with expertise and knowledge of the juvenile justice system, or (3) have been directly involved in the juvenile justice system:
8. Angel Ceja Jr., Juvenile Advisory Council
 9. Denise Coleman, Huckleberry Youth Programs/ CARC
 10. Ron Stueckle, JJPA/ Sunset Youth Services

Expanding Membership

- Proposal:

1. Community-based provider who has experience serving young people in detention (at juvenile or adult facilities)
2. Individual with lived experience in a secure youth facility
3. Caregiver or loved one of children impacted by secure detention
4. Representative from Bar Association of San Francisco, Delinquency Conflict Counsel
5. Victim/survivor of community violence

Application Process

- Equitable Circulation and Promotion of Application Process
- Application Questions
- Principles to Consider in Selection:
 - Racial Equity
 - Neighborhood Equity/Representation
 - Other Recommendations?
- Membership Appointment Term: 1 Year
- Membership Support
- Selection Process

Questions & Discussion

AGENDA ITEM 4

Meeting Schedule

AGENDA ITEM 5

DISCUSSION & POSSIBLE ACTION

Requests for future agenda items

AGENDA ITEM 6

DISCUSSION & POSSIBLE ACTION

Adjournment

AGENDA ITEM 7

ACTION ITEM